

# ON SOME COVARIANCE INEQUALITIES FOR MONOTONIC AND NON-MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS

MARTIN EGOZCUE, LUIS FUENTES GARCIA, AND WING-KEUNG WONG

ABSTRACT. Chebyshev's integral inequality, also known as the covariance inequality, is an important problem in economics, finance, and decision making. In this paper we derive some covariance inequalities for monotonic and non-monotonic functions. The results developed in our paper could be useful in many applications in economics, finance, and decision making.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Chebyshev's integral inequality is widely used in applied mathematics such as: economics, finance, and decision making under risk, see, for example, Wagener [8] and Athey [1]. It could also be used to study the covariance sign of two monotonic functions, see Mitrinovic, Pečarić and Fink [6] and Wagener [8].

However, monotonicity is a very strong assumption that this assumption could be satisfied sometimes but it could be violated in other occasions at certain specific problems. Cuadras [2] showed a general identity of the covariance between functions of two random variables in terms of their cumulative distribution functions. In this paper, using Cuadras identity, we derive some integral inequalities for monotonic functions and some for non-monotonic functions.

## 2. THEORY

We first present Chebyshev's algebraic inequality, see, for example, Mitrinovic, Pečarić and Fink [6], as follows:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f(x) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers. We have*

(1) *if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both increasing or both decreasing, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_a^b f(x) \int_a^b \alpha(x)\beta(x)f(x) dx \geq \int_a^b \alpha(x)f(x) dx \times \int_a^b \beta(x)f(x) dx; \text{ and}$$

(2) *if one is increasing and the other is decreasing, then the inequality is reversed.*

We note that in Proposition 2.1, if  $f(x)$  is a probability density function, then Chebyshev's algebraic inequality in (2.1) becomes

$$\text{Cov}[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] \geq 0.$$

Cuadras [2] extended the work of Hoeffding [3], Mardia [4], Sen [7], and Lehmann [5] by proving that for any two real functions of bounded variations  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  defined

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 62H20, 60E05.

*Key words and phrases.* Covariance, Chebyshev's inequality, Decisions under risk.

on  $[a, b]$  and  $[c, d]$ , respectively, and for any two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $E[|\alpha(X)\beta(Y)|]$ ,  $E[|\alpha(X)|]$ , and  $E[|\beta(Y)|]$  are finite,

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Cov}[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] = \int_c^d \int_a^b [H(x, y) - F(x)G(y)] d\alpha(y) d\beta(x),$$

where  $H(x, y)$  is the joint cumulative distribution function for  $X$  and  $Y$ , and  $F$  and  $G$  are the corresponding cumulative distribution functions of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.

As we noted before, monotonicity of both functions  $\alpha(X)$  and  $\beta(X)$  in Proposition (2.1) is a very strong assumption, and thus, this condition may be satisfied in some situations but it could sometimes be violated in other situations. Thus, it is our objective in this paper to derive some covariance inequalities for monotonic functions and some for non-monotonic functions. We first apply the Cuadras identity to relax the monotonicity assumption of  $\beta(x)$  for a single random variable in the Chebyshev inequality as shown in the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a random variable symmetric about zero with support on  $[-b, b]$ . Consider two real functions  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$ . Assume that  $\beta(x)$  is an odd function of bounded variation with  $\beta(x) \geq (\leq)0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . We have*

- (1) *if  $\alpha(x)$  is increasing, then  $\text{Cov}[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] \geq (\leq)0$ ; and*
- (2) *if  $\alpha(x)$  is decreasing, then  $\text{Cov}[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] \leq (\geq)0$ .*

*Proof.* We only prove Part (a) of Theorem 2.2 with  $\beta(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Using Cuadras's [2] identity, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Cov}[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] = \int_{-b}^b \int_{-b}^b [H(x, y) - F(x)G(y)] d\alpha(y) d\beta(x),$$

where  $H(x, y)$ ,  $F$ , and  $G$  are defined in (2.2). Because  $X = Y$  in the theorem, we have  $H(x, y) = F(\min\{x, y\})$ . Therefore, we can write:

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Cov}[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] = \int_{-b}^b \int_{-b}^b F(\min(x, y)) d\alpha(y) d\beta(x) - \int_{-b}^b \int_{-b}^b F(x)F(y) d\alpha(y) d\beta(x).$$

The second term at the right hand side of (2.4) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^b \int_{-b}^b F(x)F(y) d\alpha(y) d\beta(x) &= \int_{-b}^b F(y) \left[ \int_{-b}^b F(x) d\beta(x) \right] d\alpha(y) \\ &= \int_{-b}^b F(y) \left[ - \int_{-b}^b \beta(x) dF(x) + \beta(b)F(b) - \beta(-b)F(-b) \right] d\alpha(y) \\ &= \int_{-b}^b F(y)\beta(b) d\alpha(y) = \beta(b) \left[ - \int_{-b}^b \alpha(y) dF(y) + \alpha(b) \right] \\ (2.5) \quad &= \beta(b) [\alpha(b) - \mu_\alpha], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_\alpha = \int_{-b}^b \alpha(y) dF(y).$$

On the other hand, the first term at the right side of (2.4) becomes

$$\int_{-b}^b \left[ \int_{-b}^b F(\min(x, y)) d\beta(x) \right] d\alpha(y) = \int_{-b}^b \left[ \int_{-b}^y F(x) d\beta(x) + \int_y^b F(y) d\beta(x) \right] d\alpha(y).$$

In addition, we have

$$\int_y^b F(y) d\beta(x) = F(y) [\beta(b) - \beta(y)],$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^b F(y) [\beta(b) - \beta(y)] d\alpha(y) &= \int_{-b}^b F(y) \beta(b) d\alpha(y) - \int_{-b}^b F(y) \beta(y) d\alpha(y) \\ &= \beta(b) [-\mu_\alpha + \alpha(b)] - \int_{-b}^b F(y) \beta(y) d\alpha(y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can easily show that

$$\int_{-b}^y F(x) d\beta(x) = - \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x) + F(y) \beta(y).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^b \left[ \int_{-b}^y F(x) d\beta(x) \right] d\alpha(y) &= \int_{-b}^b \left[ - \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x) + F(y) \beta(y) \right] d\alpha(y) \\ &= - \int_{-b}^b \left[ \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x) \right] d\alpha(y) + \int_{-b}^b F(y) \beta(y) d\alpha(y), \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^b \left[ \int_{-b}^b F(\min(x, y)) d\beta(x) \right] d\alpha(x) &= \beta(b) [-\mu_\alpha + \alpha(b)] - \int_{-b}^b F(y) \beta(y) d\alpha(y) \\ &\quad - \int_{-b}^b \left[ \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x) \right] d\alpha(y) + \int_{-b}^b F(y) \beta(y) d\alpha(y) \\ (2.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \beta(b) [-\mu_\alpha + \alpha(b)] - \int_{-b}^b \left[ \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x) \right] d\alpha(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thereafter, substituting (2.5) and (2.6) into (2.4), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] &= \beta(b) [-\mu_\alpha + \alpha(b)] - \int_{-b}^b \left[ \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x) \right] d\alpha(y) - \beta(b) [-\mu_\alpha + \alpha(b)] \\ &= - \int_{-b}^b \left[ \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x) \right] d\alpha(y). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, one could easily show that  $T(y) = - \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x)$  is an even function. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] &= \int_{-b}^b T(y) d\alpha(y) = \int_{-b}^0 T(y) d\alpha(y) + \int_0^b T(y) d\alpha(y) \\ &= - \int_0^b T(y) d\alpha(-y) + \int_0^b T(y) d\alpha(y) \\ &= \int_0^b T(y) [d(\alpha(y) - \alpha(-y))] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality holds because: (1) one could easily show that  $T(y) = - \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x)$  is a decreasing and positive for  $y \geq 0$ , and (2)  $(\alpha(y) - \alpha(-y))$  is increasing. We note that (2) holds because  $\alpha(x)$  is an increasing function. Thus, the assertion in Part (a) of

Theorem 2.2 holds with  $\beta(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . The results for other situations could be proved similarly.  $\square$

One may wonder whether the monotonicity assumption for both  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  in Theorem 2.2 could be relaxed. It is our objective to do so and our next contribution in this paper is to get this done for the Chebyshev inequality as shown in the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a random variable symmetric about zero with support on  $[-b, b]$ . Consider two real functions  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$ . Let  $\beta(x)$  be an odd function of bounded variation with  $\beta(x) \geq (\leq) 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . We have*

- (1) *if  $\alpha(x) \geq \alpha(-x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , then  $Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] \geq (\leq) 0$ ; and*
- (2) *if  $\alpha(x) \leq \alpha(-x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$  then  $Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] \leq (\geq) 0$ .*

*Proof.* We only prove Part (a) of Theorem 2.3 with  $\beta(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . We note that since  $\beta(x)$  is an odd function and  $X$  be a random variable symmetric about zero with support on  $[-b, b]$ ,  $E[\beta(X)] = 0$ . Applying the same steps as shown in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] = \int_{-b}^b \left[ - \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x) \right] d\alpha(y) \geq 0.$$

Defining  $T(y) = - \int_{-b}^y \beta(x) dF(x)$ . Then, we have

$$Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] = \int_{-b}^b T(y) d\alpha(y) = - \int_{-b}^b \alpha(y) dT(y) + T(b)\alpha(b) - T(-b)\alpha(-b).$$

As one could easily show that  $T(y)$  is an even function,  $T(b) = -E[\beta(X)] = 0$ , and  $T(-b) = 0$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] &= - \int_{-b}^b \alpha(y) dT(y) = - \int_{-b}^0 \alpha(y) dT(y) - \int_0^b \alpha(y) dT(y) \\ &= \int_0^{-b} \alpha(y) dT(y) - \int_0^b \alpha(y) dT(y) \\ &= \int_0^b \alpha(-y) dT(y) - \int_0^b \alpha(y) dT(y) \\ &= \int_0^b [\alpha(-y) - \alpha(y)] dT(y) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, one could easily show that  $T(y)$  is a decreasing function for  $y \geq 0$ . Moreover, by assumption,  $\alpha(-y) - \alpha(y) \leq 0$ . Thus, we have  $Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(X)] \geq 0$ , and thus, the assertion in Part (a) of Theorem 2.3 follows with  $\beta(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . The results for other situations could be proved similarly.  $\square$

In the above results, both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are functions of the same variable  $X$ . We next extend the results such that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are functions of two different variables, say  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. However, in order to get this done, additional assumption could have to impose. In this paper, we assume that both variables have positive quadrant dependency; that is,  $H(x, y) - F(x)G(y) \geq 0$ , to get this done as stated in the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two random variables with positive quadrant dependency. Consider two functions  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$ , we have*

- (1) if  $\alpha(x)$  is increasing (decreasing) and  $\beta(x)$  is increasing (decreasing), then  $Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] \geq 0$ , and
- (2) if one of the functions is increasing and the other is decreasing, then  $Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] \leq 0$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the second part of Theorem 2.4. The first part of the theorem can be proved similarly. Let  $K(x, y) = H(x, y) - F(x)G(y)$ , we have

$$Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] = \int_a^b \int_a^b K(x, y) d\alpha(x) d\beta(y).$$

For the situation in which  $\alpha(x)$  is an increasing function, since  $K(x, y) \geq 0$  is continuous, we have

$$T(y) = \int_a^b K(x, y) d\alpha(x) \geq 0.$$

In addition, as  $(-\beta(x))$  is an increasing function, one could easily show that

$$Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] = - \int_a^b K(x, y) d(-\beta(y)) \leq 0,$$

and thus, the assertion follows.  $\square$

We also note that one could easily obtain the opposite results if one assume negative quadrant dependency. Therefore, we skip the discussion of properties of the covariance inequality for negative quadrant dependency in this paper.

In this paper, we first develop Theorem 2.2 to relax the monotonicity assumption on the function  $\beta(x)$  for Proposition 2.1. We further develop Theorem 2.3 to relax the monotonicity assumption on both  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$ . Thereafter, we develop results of Chebyshev inequality for two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  as shown in Theorem 2.4. One may consider relax the monotonicity assumption for Theorem 2.4. We note that to relax the monotonicity assumption on the function(s) for Proposition 2.1, as shown in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 is easier as these theorems deal with only one variable. However, to relax the monotonicity assumption for Theorem 2.4 is not a easy task as Theorem 2.4 is dealing with two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ . In this paper, we manage to relax the monotonicity assumption on  $\beta(x)$  for Theorem 2.4 as shown in next theorem. We leave the relaxation of the monotonicity assumption on both  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$  to further study.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two dependent random variables with support on  $[-b, b]$ . Assume  $K(x, y) = H(x, y) - F(x)G(y)$  is increasing in  $y$ . Consider two functions  $\alpha(x)$  and  $\beta(x)$ , where  $\beta(x)$  is an even function of bounded variation increasing (decreasing) for all  $x \geq 0$ . We have*

- (1) if  $\alpha(x)$  is increasing, then  $Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] \geq (\leq) 0$ ; and
- (2) if  $\alpha(x)$  is decreasing, then  $Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] \leq (\geq) 0$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the first part. Let

$$Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] = \int_{-b}^b \int_{-b}^b K(x, y) d\alpha(x) d\beta(y).$$

Since  $\frac{\partial K}{\partial y} \geq 0$ ,  $K(x, y) - K(x, -y) \geq 0$  for all  $y \geq 0$ . Also using the assumption that  $\beta(x)$  is an even function and increasing for  $x \geq 0$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) &= \int_{-b}^b K(x, y) d\beta(y) = \int_{-b}^0 K(x, y) d\beta(y) + \int_0^b K(x, y) d\beta(y) \\ &= - \int_0^{-b} K(x, y) d\beta(y) + \int_0^b K(x, y) d\beta(y) \\ &= \int_0^b [K(x, y) - K(x, -y)] d\beta(y) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, as  $\alpha(x)$  is an increasing function, we get

$$Cov[\alpha(X), \beta(Y)] = \int_{-b}^b T(x) d\alpha(x) \geq 0,$$

and the assertion follows; □

We note that, in this case, we have relaxed monotonicity assumption of one of the functions.

### 3. CONCLUSION

In this paper we derive some covariance inequalities for monotonic and non-monotonic functions. We note that though we have relaxed functions monotonicity assumption in some of our results, we imposed a symmetry assumption on the random variables and we restricted our analysis only to even or odd functions. The analysis of new covariance inequalities without these assumptions remains as a task for future research.

### Acknowledgement

The third author would like to thank Professors Robert B. Miller and Howard E. Thompson for their continuous guidance and encouragement. This research is partially supported by grants from Universidad de la Republica del Uruguay, Universidad da Coruña, and Hong Kong Baptist University.

### REFERENCES

- [1] S. ATHEY, Monotone comparative statics under uncertainty, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **117**(1) (2002), 187–223.
- [2] C.M. CUADRAS, On the covariance between functions, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **81** (2002), 19–27.
- [3] W. HOEFFDING, Masstabinvariante Korrelationstheorie, *Schriften Math. Inst. Univ. Berlin* **5** (1940), 181–233.
- [4] K.V. MARDIA, Some contributions to contingency-type bivariate distributions, *Biometrika* **54** (1967), 235–249.
- [5] E.L. LEHMANN, Some concepts of dependence, *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **37** (1966), 1137–1153.
- [6] D. MITRINOVIĆ, J.E. PEČARIĆ, and A.M. FINK, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1993).
- [7] P.K. SEN, The impact of Wassily Hoeffding’s research on nonparametrics, in *The Collected Works of Wassily Hoeffding*, N.I. Fisher and P.K. Sen, Eds., 29–55, Springer-Verlag, New York (1994).

- [8] A. WAGENER, Chebyshev's algebraic inequality and comparative statics under uncertainty, *Mathematical Social Sciences* **52** (2006), 217–221.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS FCS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA REPUBLICA DEL URUGUAY

*E-mail address:* megozcue@yahoo.com

DEPARTAMENTO DE MÉTODOS MATEMÁTICOS Y REPRESENTACIÓN, E.T.S. DE INGENIEROS DE CAMINOS, CANALES Y PUERTOS, UNIVERSIDAD DE A CORUÑA

*E-mail address:* lfuentes@udc.es

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS AND INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG BAPTIST UNIVERSITY

*E-mail address:* awong@hkbu.edu.hk

*URL:* <http://www.hkbu.edu.hk/~awong/>